

# CS W186 Spring 2019 Final

**Do not turn this page until instructed to start the exam.**

## Contents:

- You should receive one *double-sided answer sheet* and a 24-page *exam packet*.
- The midterm has *9 questions*, each with multiple parts.
- The midterm is worth a total of *125.5 points*.

## Taking the exam:

- You have *170 minutes* to complete the midterm.
- All answers should be written on the answer sheet. The exam packet will be collected but not graded.
- For each question, place only your *final answer* on the answer sheet; do not show work.
- For multiple choice questions, please *fill in the bubble or box completely* as shown on the left below. *Do not mark the box with an X or checkmark.*



- Use the blank spaces in your exam for scratch paper.

## Aids:

- You are allowed **two handwritten** 8.5" × 11" double-sided pages of notes.
- The **only** electronic devices allowed are basic scientific calculators with simple numeric readout. No graphing calculators, tablets, cellphones, smartwatches, laptops, etc.

## Grading Notes:

- All IOs must be written as integers. There is no such thing as 1.04 IOs – that is actually 2 IOs.
- 1 KB = 1024 bytes. We will be using powers of 2, not powers of 10
- Unsimplified answers, like those left in log format where simplification to integers is possible, will receive a point penalty.

# 1 SQL and Relational Algebra (11.5 points)

Consider the following schema for bike riders between cities:

```
CREATE TABLE Locations (  
  lid INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
  city_name VARCHAR  
);  
  
CREATE TABLE Riders (  
  rid INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
  name VARCHAR,  
  home INTEGER REFERENCES locations (lid)  
);  
  
CREATE TABLE Bikes (  
  bid INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
  owner INTEGER REFERENCES riders(rid);  
);  
  
CREATE TABLE Rides (  
  rider INTEGER REFERENCES riders(rid),  
  bike INTEGER REFERENCES bikes(bid),  
  src INTEGER REFERENCES locations(lid),  
  dest INTEGER REFERENCES locations(lid));  
);
```

1. (2 points) Select all of the following queries which return the rid of Rider with the most bikes. Assume all Riders have a unique number of bikes.

A. `SELECT owner FROM bikes GROUP BY owner ORDER BY COUNT(*) DESC LIMIT 1;`

B. `SELECT owner FROM bikes GROUP BY owner HAVING COUNT(*) >= ALL  
(SELECT COUNT(*) FROM bikes GROUP BY owner);`

C. `SELECT owner FROM bikes GROUP BY owner HAVING COUNT(*) = MAX(bikes);`

2. (2 points) Select the bid of all Bikes that have never been ridden.

A. `SELECT bid FROM bikes b1 WHERE NOT EXISTS  
(SELECT owner FROM bikes b2 WHERE b2.bid = b1.bid);`

B. `SELECT bid FROM bikes WHERE NOT EXISTS  
(SELECT bike FROM rides WHERE bike = bid);`

C. `SELECT bid FROM bikes WHERE bid NOT IN  
(SELECT bike FROM rides, bikes as b2 WHERE bike = b2.bid);`

3. (2 points) Select the name of the rider and the city\_name of the src and dest locations of all of their journeys for all rides. If a rider has not ridden a bike the output should be:

<name, null, null>

- A. **SELECT** tmp.name, s.city\_name **AS** src, d.city\_name **AS** dst **FROM**  
locations s, locations d,  
(riders r **LEFT OUTER JOIN** rides **ON** r.rid = rides.rider) **as** tmp  
**WHERE** s.lid = tmp.src **AND** d.lid = tmp.dest;
- B. **SELECT** r.name, s.city\_name **AS** src, d.city\_name **AS** dst **FROM** riders r  
**LEFT OUTER JOIN** rides **ON** r.rid = rides.rider  
**INNER JOIN** locations s **on** s.lid = rides.src  
**INNER JOIN** locations d **on** d.lid = rides.dest;
- C. **SELECT** r.name, s.city\_name **AS** src, d.city\_name **AS** dst **FROM** rides  
**RIGHT OUTER JOIN** riders r **ON** r.rid = rides.rider  
**INNER JOIN** locations s **ON** s.lid = rides.src  
**INNER JOIN** locations d **ON** d.lid = rides.dest;

For the following questions fill in the blanks in the query below such that the query returns true if more people bike from home than any other location and false otherwise. If exactly as many people bike from home as from anywhere else then either true or false may be returned.

```
-- selects true for all rides where the rider left from home and false otherwise
WITH is_from_home (is_from_home) AS
    (SELECT _ _ (4) _ _ from riders, rides WHERE rid=rider),

-- counts the total number of rides from home and total number of rides not from home
count_rides (is_from_home, num_rides) AS
    (SELECT _ _ (5)_ _ FROM is_from_home GROUP BY _ _ (6) _ _ )

SELECT is_from_home FROM count_rides
WHERE _ _ (7) _ _ _ _ (8) _ _
    (SELECT num_rides FROM count_rides) LIMIT 1;
```

4. (0.5 points) Fill Blank 4

- A. src = home
- B. dst = home
- C. src = dest

5. (0.5 points) Fill Blank 5

- A. COUNT(\*)
- B. is\_from\_home
- C. is\_from\_home, COUNT(\*)

6. (0.5 points) Fill Blank 6

- A. bike
- B. owner
- C. is\_from\_home

7. (0.5 points) Fill Blank 7

- A. is\_from\_home
- B. num\_rides
- C. COUNT(\*)

8. (0.5 points) Fill Blank 8

- A. >= ALL
- B. <= ALL
- C. IN

Relational Algebra

9. (1.5 points) Find the rid of all riders that dont own a bike

- A.  $\pi_{rid}(Riders) - \pi_{owner}(Bikes)$
- B.  $\pi_{rider}(Rides) - \pi_{owner}(Bikes)$
- C.  $\pi_{rid}(Riders) - \pi_{owner}(Bikes \bowtie_{bid = bike} Rides)$


10. (1.5 points) Select the rid of all of the riders whose home is Berkeley and that have ridden their bikes from home

- A.  $\pi_{rid}(\sigma_{city\_name='Berkeley'}(Rider \bowtie_{home = lid} (\pi_{city\_name} Locations) \bowtie_{home = src} Rides))$
- B.  $\pi_{rid}(Rider \bowtie_{home = lid} (\sigma_{city\_name='Berkeley'} Locations) \bowtie_{home = src} Rides)$
- C.  $\pi_{rid}(\sigma_{city\_name='Berkeley'}(Rider \bowtie_{home = lid} Locations \bowtie_{home = src} Rides))$

## 2 B+ Trees (12 points)

- (2 points) Which of the following statements are true?
  - Alternative 1 B+ trees can be clustered or unclustered.
  - B+ trees with variable length keys can have a different number of entries in each node.
  - Unclustered indices are more expensive to maintain than clustered indices.
  - When splitting a leaf node, we push up the split key rather than copying up.

Assume we have the following B+ tree with  $d = 1$ :



- (2 points) What is the minimum number of inserts needed to increase the height of the tree? Assume the slot for (a) is empty and that the keys at the leaf level are just 1, 7, and 9.
- (2 points) What is the maximum number of inserts that can be performed without increasing the height of the tree?
- (1 point) If 10 was in position (a), would this still be a valid B+ tree?
  - True
  - False

Assume you have a table:

```
CREATE TABLE Pets (  
    petID INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
    petName VARCHAR  
);
```

Assume that the **Pets** table has **81 data pages**. and that **petID** is the **primary key of Pets**. Assume that each petName has 3 petIDs associated with it (3 pets have the same name). Additionally, we have the following indices on the tree:

- Index 1: Alternative 1 B+ tree of height 2 on petID
- Index 2: Unclustered Alternative 2 B+ tree of height 1 on <petName, petID>
- Index 3: Clustered Alternative 3 B+ tree of height 1 on <petID, petName>

5. (1.5 points) Index scans using which of the following indices (if any) will cost fewer I/Os than a file scan for the following query?

```
SELECT * FROM Pets WHERE petName = 'Miki'
```

- A. Index 1
- B. Index 2
- C. Index 3

6. (1.5 points) Index scans using which of the following indices (if any) will cost the least I/Os for the following query?

```
SELECT * FROM Pets WHERE petID = 50 AND petName = 'Miki'
```

**If multiples indices are tied for the least number of I/Os, select all.**

- A. Index 1
- B. Index 2
- C. Index 3

7. (2 points) What is the minimum fanout for an Alternative 1 B+ tree of height 2 on Pets? Assume that each leaf page can hold as many records as one data page from Pets.

### 3 Sorting and Hashing (21 points)

For this question, we consider the following relation:

```
CREATE TABLE Avengers (  
  ID INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
  Name VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,  
  Species VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,  
  Weapon VARCHAR(50)  
);
```

- ID is an integer ranging from 10,000,000–50,000,000.
  - Assume sorting or hashing on the ID key unless otherwise mentioned.
  - Unless otherwise stated, assume that all hash functions used partition data evenly.
1. (2 points) If **Avengers** is a table with 100,000 pages and we have 100 pages in memory, how many I/Os would it take to sort the table?
  2. (2 points) If **Avengers** is a table with 100 pages and we have 100 pages in memory, how many I/Os would it take to sort the table?
  3. (2 points) Assuming **Avengers** has 1,000 pages and we have  $B$  buffer pages, what is the minimum value of  $B$  needed to complete sorting within 2 passes? Recall that the definition of a pass from lecture is a read and write over the data.
  4. (2 points) Assuming we have 50 pages in memory, what is the maximum table size, in pages, for **Avengers**, that we can sort in 2 passes?
  5. (2 points) Assuming we have 10 pages in memory, what is the maximum table size for **Avengers**, in pages, that we can sort in 3 passes?



6. (2 points) How many I/Os would it take to hash **Avengers**, assuming the table had 100,000 pages and our buffer had  $B = 100$ ?
  
7. (2 points) How many I/Os would it take to hash **Avengers**, assuming the table had 100 pages and our buffer had  $B = 100$ ?
  
8. (2 points) What is the maximum possible size of **Avengers** if we had  $B = 50$  pages in memory and wanted to hash the table within two passes?
  
9. (4 points) Now assume a non-uniform hash function, and assume **Avengers** is a table of 350 pages. Assume we have  $B = 51$  pages in memory and get partitions of size (50, 100, 100, 40, 40, 10, 10) after the first pass. If we use perfect hash functions from pass 2 onwards, how many I/Os would it take to hash the **Avengers** table in total? **Include the cost of pass 1 in your calculations.**
  
10. (1 point) True or False: sorting and hashing will always have the same I/O cost

## 4 Joins and Parallelism (13 points)

For questions 1–4, we are trying to join the `Students` table with the `Classes` table. The `Students` table has 200 pages and the `Classes` table has 300 pages. Assume we have 12 pages of RAM. If you have to make a decision (e.g. inner/outer relation, what table to build the hash table out of), make the decision that will minimize the I/O cost. Assume pages are 1KB for both tables.

1. (2 points) How many I/Os will it take to perform a Block Nested Loops Join between `Students` and `Classes`?
2. (2 points) How many partitioning passes are required to perform a Grace Hash Join? Assume we have a perfect hash function.
3. (2 points) Now we want to add 4 machines to our database. Currently all of the data is on the original machine. How much network cost do we expect there to be on average if we range partition both tables across the 5 total machines on the `cid` column? Assume the `cid` column is distributed uniformly across the same ranges for both tables and that we pick perfect ranges.
4. (3 points) Assume that the range partitioning in question 3 has completed. How many I/Os will **the original machine** have to perform for a Sort Merge Join (without the optimization in the final merge pass) in the average case?

For questions 5-8, assume we have a `Products` table with 90 pages hash partitioned across 3 identical machines on the `pid` column. We have no indices built on any machine.

5. (1 point) Assume we used perfect hash functions to partition the table and that the `pid` column is uniformly distributed. How many I/Os will it take in total (over all machines) to run the following query:

```
SELECT * FROM products WHERE pid = 1 and price > 100;
```

6. (1 point) Now, do not assume anything about the distribution of the data or the quality of the hash function used to partition the data. How many total I/Os will it take to run the same query as in question 5 in the worst case?

7. (1 point) Instead we have the following query:

```
SELECT * FROM products where price > 100;
```


Assume that the hash function we used to partition the data is perfect again and that the `pid` column is uniformly distributed. How many I/Os will it take to the run the query?

8. (1 point) Out of questions 6 and 7, which scenario will take less time to run?
- A. 6
  - B. 7
  - C. Same
  - D. Indeterminate

## 5 ER Diagrams and FDs (14 points)

As president of Stanford University, you are tasked with designing a new final exam scheduling system to make it easier on students. Using the following assumptions, fill in the ER diagram we give you.

- A student, uniquely identified by his or her SID, takes maximum one exam on one date.
- A student may take any number of exams, and every exam is taken by at least one student.
- An exam is uniquely identified by the combination of a course and a semester.
- Every exam has at least one supervisor. A supervisor oversees exactly one exam.
- There is at least one question on every exam, and a question appears on at most one exam.
- A question on an exam may be answered by any number of students, and a student may answer any number of questions on an exam.



- (1 point) What type of edge should be drawn between the **Supervisors** entity and the **oversees** relationship set?
  - Thin Line
  - Bold Line
  - Thin Arrow
  - Bold Arrow
- (1 point) What type of edge should be drawn between the **Exam** entity and the **oversees** relationship set?
  - Thin Line
  - Bold Line
  - Thin Arrow
  - Bold Arrow

3. (1 point) What type of edge should be drawn between the **Student** entity and the **takes** relationship set?
- Thin Line
  - Bold Line
  - Thin Arrow
  - Bold Arrow
4. (1 point) What type of edge should be drawn between the **Exam** entity and the **takes** relationship set?
- Thin Line
  - Bold Line
  - Thin Arrow
  - Bold Arrow
5. (1 point) What type of edge should be drawn between the **Questions** entity and the **answers** relationship set?
- Thin Line
  - Bold Line
  - Thin Arrow
  - Bold Arrow
6. (4 points) Consider the attribute set  $R = ABCDEF$  and the functional dependency set  $F = \{BE \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow F, AEF \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow E\}$ . Which of the following are candidate keys of  $R$ ? Mark all that apply
- ACD
  - AD
  - FC
  - BF
7. (3 points) Given Attribute Set  $R = ABCDEFGH$  and functional dependencies set  $F = \{CE \rightarrow GH, F \rightarrow G, B \rightarrow CEF, H \rightarrow G\}$ . Which of the following relations are included in the final decomposition when decomposing  $R$  into BCNF in the order of functional dependencies set  $F$ ?
- BCEF
  - ACEF
  - ABD
  - GDH
  - GH
  - CEH
8. (2 points) True or False: The decomposition of Attribute set  $R = ABCDEF$ , given the functional dependency set  $F = \{B \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow E, D \rightarrow B, F \rightarrow BD\}$ , into  $ABDE, BCDF$  is lossless.

## 6 Query Optimization (10 points)

Consider the following schema for a sports league:

```
CREATE TABLE Teams(  
    tid INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
    tname VARCHAR(50),  
    division VARCHAR(10),  
    rank INTEGER  
);  
CREATE TABLE Players(  
    pid INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
    pname VARCHAR(50),  
    tid INTEGER REFERENCES Teams(tid),  
    age INTEGER,  
    salary FLOAT  
);  
CREATE TABLE Games(  
    home_tid INTEGER REFERENCES Teams(tid),  
    away_tid INTEGER REFERENCES Teams(tid),  
    game_date DATE,  
    home_points INTEGER,  
    away_points INTEGER,  
    PRIMARY KEY (home_tid, away_tid, game_date)  
);
```

Assume that:

- There are 30 teams in the league (so `Teams.tid` has 30 possible values)
- The following histogram shows the distribution of `Games.home_points`:

< 90	90-99	100-109	≥ 110
5%	35%	40%	20%

Consider the following query:

```
SELECT T.tname  
FROM Teams T, Games G  
WHERE T.tid = G.home_tid  
AND T.rank > 20  
AND G.home_points > 107;
```

1. (1 point) What is the selectivity for the predicate `G.home_points > 107`?
2. (1 point) What is the selectivity for the predicate `T.tid = G.home_tid`?
3. (1 point) True or False: We can apply `T.rank > 20` on `T` before we join `T` with `G`.
4. (1 point) True or False: We can apply `T.rank > 20` on `T`, apply `G.home_points > 107` on `G`, and then only keep the columns `T.tid` and `G.home_tid` before we join `T` with `G`.

Consider the following query:

```

SELECT T.tname, P.pname, G.game_date
FROM Teams T, Players P, Games G
WHERE P.tid = T.tid                (predicate 1)
   AND T.tid = G.home_tid          (predicate 2)
   AND (G.home_points > 110 OR G.away_points < 90) (predicate 3)
   AND P.age > 35                  (predicate 4)
   AND P.salary > 15,000,000       (predicate 5)
   AND T.division = 'PACIFIC'      (predicate 6)
ORDER BY G.game_date ASC;

```

5. (1 point) True or False: The first pass of the Selinger optimizer will only consider the predicates with a single column (predicates 4, 5, 6); the predicates with two columns (1, 2, 3) will only be considered starting from the second pass.
6. (3 points) For each row in the following table, mark whether the join will be retained after pass 2. Assume that the optimizer only uses the predicates as given, and does not infer any other relationship between the joined tables.

	Left Tables	Right Table	I/O Cost	Sorted On
(A)	T	G	10,000	—
(B)	T	G	14,000	T.tid
(C)	G	T	10,500	G.home_points
(D)	G	T	12,000	G.game_date
(E)	G	T	11,000	G.home_tid
(F)	P	G	16,000	—
(G)	P	G	22,000	P.tid
(H)	G	P	19,000	G.game_date
(I)	G	P	18,500	G.home_points
(J)	P	T	9,500	—
(K)	P	T	9,000	P.tid
(L)	T	P	9,250	T.tid

7. (2 points) For each statement regarding pass 3 (the final pass), mark either True or False.
- The overall lowest cost join within the considered plan space will always be retained at the end of pass 3.
  - The lowest cost join (within the considered plan space) sorted on T.tid will always be retained at the end of pass 3.
  - Within the considered plan space, the lowest cost join sorted on G.game\_date will always be retained at the end of pass 3.
  - Within the considered plan space, the lowest cost join sorted on G.home\_tid might be retained at the end of pass 3.

## 7 Transaction and Concurrency (19 points)

1. (7 points) For each assertion, fill in the corresponding bubble True or False.
  - A. For a set of  $n$  transactions, the number of different serial schedules is exponential in  $n$  (i.e. there exists a constant  $c$  such that the number of schedules is  $O(c^n)$ ).
  - B. In an ACID-preserving DBMS that only allows serial schedules, the database must be in a consistent state throughout the execution of a transaction.
  - C. A topological sort of the dependency graph of a conflict serializable schedule produces an ordering of an equivalent serial schedule.
  - D. View serializability is not commonly enforced in practice for performance reasons.
  - E. Two phase locking is a concurrency-control protocol that prevents deadlock.
  - F. Two phase locking (including strict 2PL) is a concurrency-control protocol that is necessary for enforcing conflict serializability.
  - G. Consider a resource A where transactions T1 and T2 have shared locks on A, and T3 is in the waiting queue because it requested an X lock on A. If another transaction T4 tries to **acquire** an IS lock on A, the lock manager (as implemented in HW 5) grants it because the requested lock type is compatible with the existing S locks.

We consider a transaction  $T_j$  to be *dependent* on another transaction  $T_i$  if  $T_j$  reads a value written by  $T_i$  before  $T_i$  has committed. This is because if  $T_i$  needs to be aborted, then so must  $T_j$  (the issue of *cascading aborts*).

We consider a schedule to be *recoverable* if for all pairs of transactions  $T_i, T_j$  such that transaction  $T_i$  is *dependent* on transaction  $T_j$ ,  $T_j$  commits before  $T_i$  commits (if  $T_i$  commits).

Notice that if  $T_i$  commits before  $T_j$ , and the system crashes before  $T_j$  can commit, then we must abort  $T_j$ , and therefore we have to abort  $T_i$ . This violates ACID due to  $T_i$  having already committed, in which case the schedule would be *unrecoverable*.

2. (1 point) Mark True if the following schedules are recoverable, False otherwise.

	T1	R(C)	W(C)					R(D)
A.	T2			R(C)	W(C)			
	T3					W(D)	Commit	

	T1	R(C)	W(C)					R(D)
B.	T2			R(C)	W(E)	Commit		
	T3						W(D)	Commit

3. (4 points) Consider the notion of recoverable schedules from the previous question. For each assertion, mark either True or False.
  - A. A conflict serializable schedule can be unrecoverable.
  - B. 2PL always produces a recoverable schedule.
  - C. Strict 2PL always produces a recoverable schedule.
  - D. A cascadeless schedule is always recoverable (a schedule is *cascadeless* if it cannot suffer from cascading aborts).



For the next two parts, consider the following schedule:

T1			W(A)	R(B)				R(C)	
T2	R(A)	W(A)					R(B)		W(C)
T3					R(B)	W(B)			

4. (1 point) We write an edge as ordered pair  $(T_i, T_j)$  if the edge goes from  $T_i$  to  $T_j$ . What is the set of edges of the dependency graph of the schedule above?
- $\{(T_2, T_1), (T_3, T_1), (T_2, T_3), (T_1, T_2)\}$
  - $\{(T_2, T_1), (T_1, T_3), (T_3, T_2), (T_2, T_3), (T_1, T_2)\}$
  - $\{(T_2, T_1), (T_1, T_3), (T_3, T_2), (T_3, T_1)\}$
  - $\{(T_2, T_1), (T_1, T_3), (T_3, T_2), (T_1, T_2)\}$
  - $\{(T_2, T_1), (T_1, T_3), (T_3, T_2)\}$
5. (2 points) For each assertion, fill in the corresponding bubble True or False.
- The schedule is conflict serializable.
  - Removing all of  $T_1$ 's operations would produce a conflict serializable schedule.
  - Removing all of  $T_3$ 's operations would produce a conflict serializable schedule.
  - Moving the R(A), W(A) operations of  $T_2$  to the end of the schedule would produce a conflict serializable schedule.

For the next three parts, we will now consider a locking protocol called strict 2PL with lock conversions. Here, in addition to being able to **acquire** and **release** locks, transactions are also able to **promote** a lock to a lock type with more permissions. As in the original strict 2PL scheme, transactions must release locks only after they have committed or aborted.

Consider a multigranularity scheme where for each operation in a schedule, the corresponding transaction requests the locks (using either **acquire** or **promote**) with **minimal privilege** that enable it to perform the operation.

Consider a database with a table  $Z$ .  $Z$  has pages  $A, B, C$ . Page  $A$  has tuples  $A_1, \dots, A_{100}$ , page  $B$  has tuples  $B_1, \dots, B_{100}$ , and page  $C$  has tuples  $C_1, \dots, C_{100}$ .

Consider the following schedule:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
T1	R( $A_5$ )	W( $B_3$ )			W( $A_5$ )			R( $B$ )
T2			R( $A_5$ )			W( $B_1$ )	Commit	
T3				W( $A_5$ )				

6. (1 point) What is the ordering of transaction numbers of the waiting queue for  $A_5$  after timestep 5? Write your answer as a ordered list of integers.

For example, if T7 will be processed and then T5, mark 7 on the first line, 5 on the second line, and N/A on the third line. Mark N/A for all lines that you do not need (if the queue is empty, mark N/A for all three lines on the answer sheet).

7. (2 points) After T2 commits at timestep 7 (and the lock manager processes any pending requests), what locks does T1 hold?

Write your answer in ascending order of acquisition time. For lock upgrades, follow the convention of acquisition time as in HW 5.

Please fill in the boxes in the following order:

Lock #1	Lock #2
Lock #3	Lock #4
Lock #5	Lock #6

If T1 does not hold any locks, mark the checkbox at the bottom indicating so. You may not need all the spaces provided—please leave spaces that you do not need blank.

8. (1 point) After timestep 8, what lock types does T1 hold? Check all that apply, or check the  $\emptyset$  option if T1 does not hold any locks.

## 8 Recovery (15 points)

Someone tripped over a cable and pulled the power cable to your database server, so your database crashed. When you boot it back up, you find the following log records and transaction and dirty page tables from the last checkpoint. Assume that the final disk flush is the update to P2 on LSN 30.

LSN	Record	prevLSN
10	UPDATE: T1 writes P2	null
20	UPDATE: T1 writes P3	10
30	UPDATE: T2 writes P2	null
40	Begin Checkpoint	-
50	UPDATE: T3 writes P2	null
60	End Checkpoint	-
70	UPDATE: T4 writes P4	null
80	T4 ABORT	70
90	CLR: UNDO T4 LSN 70	80
100	UPDATE: T2 writes P1	30
110	UPDATE: T3 writes P3	50
120	T1 COMMIT	20
130	UPDATE: T2 writes P4	100
140	T1 END	120

Transaction	lastLSN	status
T1	20	R
T2	30	R

PageID	recLSN
P3	20

- (1.5 points) During the Analysis phase, which of the following log records are read?
  - LSN 20
  - LSN 50
  - LSN 80
- (6 points) After the analysis phase, what is the state of the Transaction Table and the Dirty Page Table?
  - For the status column, write down R if the transaction is running, C if the transaction is committing, and A if the transaction is aborting.
  - If a transaction is not in the transaction table, put an X in the lastLSN and status boxes for that transaction
  - If a page is not in the dirty page table, put an X in the recLSN box for that page
- (3 points) Which of the following log records will be redone?
  - LSN 10
  - LSN 20
  - LSN 30
  - LSN 40
  - LSN 80
  - LSN 90

Answer the following 3 questions about the UNDO phase.

4. (0.5 points) What is the LSN of the first record to be undone?
5. (0.5 points) What is the LSN of the second record to be undone?
6. (0.5 points) Which transaction is the first to be completely undone?
  - A. T1
  - B. T2
  - C. T3
  - D. T4
7. (3 points) Mark the following statements as True or False.
  - A. A No Steal policy with Force requires redo logging to achieve atomicity
  - B. It is possible for the flushedLSN to be less than an on-disk pageLSN
  - C. It is possible for a page's in-memory pageLSN to be less than that page's on-disk pageLSN
  - D. If we flush the pages in the DPT table to disk upon checkpointing, the redo phase only needs to redo LSNs greater than or equal to the nearest checkpoint
  - E. If we flush the pages in the DPT table to disk upon checkpointing, the undo phase only needs to undo LSNs greater than or equal to the nearest checkpoint
  - F. CLR records can be redone, but they can never be undone

## 9 Distributed Transactions (10 points)


Our database runs on 5 machines and uses Two-Phase Commit.

Suppose our machines are connected as shown in the graph. For example, sending a message between Machine 2 and Machine 4 takes 2000 milliseconds in either direction.

Assume that everything is instantaneous except for the time spent sending messages between two machines (e.g. processing time, recovery), unless otherwise specified, and that we are using presumed abort. Assume that all machines vote yes.

We consider the following events (all times are measured relative to the start of the transaction):

- At 0ms: The transaction begins the 2PC protocol.
- At 3500ms: Machine 2 goes down.
- At 4500ms: Machine 1 goes down.
- At 5500ms: Machine 1 comes back up.
- At 6500ms: Machine 2 comes back up.
- At 7500ms: Machine 3 goes down.
- At 8500ms: Machine 2 goes down.
- At 9500ms: Machine 1 and Machine 4 go down.
- At 14000ms: Machine 5 goes down.
- At 20000ms: Machine 2 and Machine 4 come back up.
- At 25000ms: all remaining machines come back up.



Assume that our 2PC implementation is configured to use very high timeouts, such that no node will ever think another node has crashed, throughout any events discussed in this problem.



**10 Scratch Work (0 points)**